#### A TRANSIENT COMPUTATIONAL FLUID DYNAMIC STUDY OF A LABORATORY-SCALE FLUORINE ELECTROLYSIS CELL

Surface: Temperature (K) Arrow: Total heat flux



#### A TRANSIENT COMPUTATIONAL FLUID DYNAMIC STUDY OF A LABORATORY-SCALE FLUORINE ELECTROLYSIS CELL

#### RYNO PRETORIUS UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA FLUORO-MATERIALS GROUP

# **Objective/Problem Statement**

- Construct a model that predicts the physical processes during the production of fluorine by electrolysis.
- Simulation will be validated by comparing COMSOL simulations to published simulations.
- Upon completion of the reactor under construction, experimental findings will be compared to the simulations.

# Background

- Uses were initially limited to the nuclear industry where it was used for uranium enrichment.
- Fluorine finds a wide range of uses today from nonstick cookware to HydroFluoroCarbons used during refrigeration.
- Electrolysis of hydrogen fluoride in molten potassium acid fluoride facilitates the formation of fluorine gas.

 $2HF \rightarrow H_2 + F_2$ 

#### **Simulation Procedure**



Reactor cross-section



Electrolyte cross-section

**Electron Transfer Boundaries** 

- Current density specified on electrodes
- Insulation all other boundaries Heat Transfer Boundaries
- Temperature specified on side walls
- Insulation all other boundaries

#### Mass Transfer Boundaries

- Movement allow in and out of electrodes
- No flow of dissolved species through any boundaries

#### Momentum Transfer Boundaries

- Gas inlets at electrodes
- Gas outlet at top of electrolyte
- No flow of electrolyte through any boundaries

• Electron Transfer

 $-\nabla \cdot d(\sigma \nabla \Phi) = 0$ 

$$\nabla \Phi^2 = 0$$

• Heat Transfer

$$\rho C_{p} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (-k\nabla T) = Q - \rho C_{p} \vec{u} \nabla T$$
$$Q = i \cdot (\Phi - \Phi_{RV}) + i \cdot \left[ 2.81 \frac{(100 - E)}{100} \right]$$

• Mass Transfer

$$\frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (-D_i \nabla C_i - z_i \mu_{m,i} F C_i \nabla \Phi + C_i \vec{u}) = r_i$$

 $KF \cdot 2HF \rightarrow K^+ + HF + HF_2^-$ 

 $HF_2^- \rightarrow HF + \frac{1}{2}F_2 + e^-$ 

$$2HF + e^- \rightarrow HF_2^- + \frac{1}{2}H_2$$

• Momentum Transfer

$$\phi_{I}\rho_{I}\frac{\partial\vec{u}}{\partial t}+\phi_{I}\rho_{I}\cdot\nabla\vec{u}_{I}=-\nabla P+\nabla\cdot\left[\phi_{I}\left(\eta_{I}+\eta_{T}\left(\nabla\vec{u}_{I}+\nabla\vec{u}_{I}^{T}-\frac{2}{3}\left(\nabla\cdot\vec{u}_{I}\right)\vec{I}\right)\right]+\phi_{I}\rho_{I}g+\vec{F}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{u}_l = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_g \phi_g}{\partial t} + \nabla \left( \phi_g \rho_g \vec{u}_g \right) = 0$$

$$i_{A} = i_{0} \left[ \exp\left(\frac{\alpha_{A}F}{R_{g}T}\eta_{s}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{\alpha_{C}F}{R_{g}T}\eta_{s}\right) \right] \quad i_{C} = -i_{0} \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha_{A}F}{R_{g}T}\eta_{s}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha_{C}F}{R_{g}T}\eta_{s}\right) \right]$$

$$i_0 = Fk_c^{0.5}k_a^{0.5}C_{HF_2}^{0.5}C_{HF}^{0.5}$$

$$\eta_{s,A} = \Phi - \Phi_{0,A} \qquad \qquad \eta_{s,C} = -\Phi - \Phi_{0,C}$$

$$R_A = -\frac{1}{F}i_A \qquad \qquad R_C = -\frac{2}{F}i_C$$



#### **Results & Discussion**



Time=100 Surface: Current density norm (A/m<sup>2</sup>) Streamline: Electric field



Line Graph: Anode current density (A/m<sup>2</sup>)



Time=100 Surface: Electric potential (V) Contour: Electric potential (V)



Time=100 Surface: Temperature (K) Arrow: Total heat flux



<u>Play</u>







20k

Time=100 Surface: Concentration (mol/m^3) Arrow: Total flux



<u>Play</u>

Time=100 Surface: Concentration (mol/m^3) Arrow: Total flux



Time=100 Surface: Velocity magnitude, gas phase (m/s) Arrow: Velocity field, gas phase



Time=100 Surface: Velocity magnitude, liquid phase (m/s) Arrow: Velocity field, liquid phase



Time=100 Surface: Volume fraction, gas phase (1) Arrow: Velocity field, liquid phase

<u>Play</u>





"Effects of hydrodynamics on Faradaic current efficiency in a fluorine electrolyser" Journal of Applied Electrochemistry (2007) 37:77-85.







Reproduced from Rouston, H, Caire, JP, Nicolas, F, Pham, P (1997) "Modelling coupled transfers in an industrial fluorine electrolyser" Journal of Applied Chemistry, 28 (1998) 237-243.

▲ 384.39

380

370

360

350



Reproduced from Rouston, H, Caire, JP, Nicolas, F, Pham, P (1997) "Modelling coupled transfers in an industrial fluorine electrolyser" Journal of Applied Chemistry, 28 (1998) 237-243.



#### Conclusions

• The simulated results of the UP experimental reactor are reasonable and within expected limits.

 The COMSOL simulations of published experimental results compare favourably to the published results in most cases.

#### Recommendations

- Use of the simulated results as a guideline during the experimental investigation of the reactor performance
- Thorough investigation into physical constants used during simulation
- Investigation of equations used during simulation, specifically the Ohmic-heating equation

#### References

- Espinasse, G, Peyrard, M, Nicolas, F and Caire JP (2006) "Effects of hydrodynamics on Faradaic current efficiency in a fluorine electrolyser" Journal of Applied Electrochemistry (2007) 37:77-85.
- Roustan, H, Caire, JP, Nicolas, F, Pham, P (1997) "Modelling coupled transfers in an industrial fluorine electrolyser" Journal of Applied Electrochemistry, 28 (1998) 237-243.

Thank you

# **Boundary Conditions**

• Heat Transfer Boundary Conditions

Boundary	Boundary Condition	Description	Equation
Walls in contact with heating/cooling jacket	Temperature specified	Wall temperature	$T = T_w$
		set to $T_w$ , a	
		constant 80 °C	
All other boundaries	Thermal insulation	No heat flux	$\vec{n} \cdot (-k\nabla T) = 0$
		allowed	

#### • Electron Transfer Boundary Conditions

Boundary	Boundary Condition	Description	Equation
Anode	Inward current density	Current density $i_A$ as determined by the Butler-Volmer equation	$\vec{n} \cdot i_n = i_A$
Cathode	Inward current density	Current density $i_c$ as determined by the Butler-Volmer equation	$\vec{n} \cdot i_n = i_c$
All other boundaries	Electric insulation	No current flow allowed	$\vec{n} \cdot i_n = 0$

# **Boundary Conditions**

Mass Transfer Boundary Conditions

Boundary	Boundary	Value/Expression	Equation
	Condition		
Anode	Dual mass	Reactive species $H\!F$ flows into electrode and $H\!F_2^-$ out of	$\vec{n} \cdot (-D_i \nabla C_i + C_i \vec{u}) = -n \cdot R_A$
	flux	electrode as defined by $R_A$	
Cathode	Inward	Reactive species $HF_2^-$ flows into electrode and $HF$ out of	$\vec{n} \cdot (-D_i \nabla C_i + C_i \vec{u}) = 0$
	current flow	electrode as defined by $R_c$	
All other	Mass flow	No mass flow allowed	$\vec{n} \cdot (-D_i \nabla C_i + C_i \vec{u}) = -n \cdot R_c$
boundaries	insulation		

# **Boundary Conditions**

#### Momentum Transfer Boundary Conditions

Boundary	Boundary Condition	Description	Equation
Electrolyte	Liquid boundary condition: slip	Acts as a gas outlet and allows liquid slip.	$\frac{\partial \bar{u}_l}{\partial \bar{u}_l} = 0$
level	Gaseous boundary condition: gas		∂t
	outlet		$\frac{\partial u_g}{\partial t} = \phi \cdot \rho \cdot \vec{u}_g$
Anode surface	Liquid boundary condition: no slip	Allows gas production according to specified	$\vec{u}_l = 0$
	Gaseous boundary condition: gas flux	reaction rate $(R_A)$ . No liquid flow.	$\frac{\partial \hat{u}_g}{\partial t} = n \cdot \rho_g \cdot R_A$
Cathode	Liquid boundary condition: no slip	Allows gas production according to specified	$\vec{u}_l = 0$
surface	Gaseous boundary condition: gas flux	reaction rate $(R_c)$ . No liquid flow	$\frac{\partial \hat{u}_g}{\partial t} = n \cdot \rho_g \cdot R_c$
All other	Liquid boundary condition: no slip	Allows neither gas or liquid flow, both without	$\frac{\partial \vec{u}_i}{\partial \vec{u}_i} = 0$
boundaries	Gaseous boundary condition: no gas	siip	∂t <sup>−</sup> 0
	flux		